

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/739,739	12/20/2000	Masakazu Muroyama	SON-1968	4967
75	90 11/07/2003	EXAMINER		
	HMAN & GRAUER, P	KEANEY, ELIZABETH MARIE		
Washington, D	t, NW, Suite 501 C 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
8 . ,			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/739,739	MUROYAMA ET AL.			
, ,	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Elizabeth Gemmell	2882			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (a)	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of t	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons ee Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w 					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∭ approved or b)∭ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
0. Other:	A. M.	o \			
9 t	EDWARD J. GLICK JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN	emg (

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues that the previous office action contains errors in correlating the limitations to the figure part number (page 22, lines 19-21). The examiner respectfully dissagrees that errors in correlation of part numbers are present in the office action. However, the applicant is reminded that the specific reference to figures and part numbers are merely a guide to the reference and Jones does disclose all the limitations found in the instant claims including a carbon film selective growth region. Accordingly, this argument is not persuasive. Finally, the applicant further asserts that Jones does not teach a carbon growth region, similar to the one disclosed in applicant's specification. Although applicant indeed defines a carbon growth region as known by the applicant, the term "carbon growth region" is an art recognized term and has a broader scope than is defined by the applicant's disclosure and must be read in its broadest sense by the examiner. Accordingly, Jones does indeed teach a carbon growth region and therefore this argument is found not to be persuasive.